

**NOTICE TO ALL LAW ENFORCEMENT & COURTROOM  
EMPLOYEES THAT I HAVE THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT  
TO DISTRIBUTE LUIS EWING'S FREE INFORMATIONAL FLYERS  
PURSUANT TO RCW 9A.50.060 & RCW 9.81.120**

I have the Constitutional RIGHT to informational picket and distribute these flyers to all members of the public here in this PUBLIC COURT HOUSE or COUNTY JAIL to inform them that you are breaking the laws of the State of Washington pursuant to the following authorities to wit:

**RCW 9.81.120 Constitutional rights--Censorship or infringement.**

Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to authorize, require or establish any military or civilian censorship or in any way to limit or infringe upon freedom of the press or freedom of speech or assembly within the meaning and the manner as guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States or of the state of Washington and no regulation shall be promulgated hereunder having that effect.

[1951 c 254 § 19.] \* \* \* See also California Penal Code § 602.1(d)(2) \* \* \*

**RCW 10.14.020 (1)** Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning "course of conduct."

**RCW 10.14.020 (2)** Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to infringe upon any constitutionally protected rights including, but not limited to, freedom of speech and freedom of assembly.

**RCW 9A.50.060 Informational picketing.**

Nothing in RCW 9A.50.020 shall prohibit either lawful picketing or other publicity for the purpose of providing the public with information.

[1993 c 128 § 8.]

"Simply disseminating legal information is not the unauthorized practice of law." Oregon State Bar v. Smith, 942 P.2d 793 (Or. Ct. App. 1977).

"A law criminalizing speech is unconstitutionally overbroad under the First Amendment "if it sweeps within its prohibitions constitutionally protected free speech activities." City of Bellevue v. Lorang, 140 Wn.2d 19, 26, 992 P.2d 496 (2000); City of Seattle v. Huff, 111 Wn.2d 923, 925, 767 P.2d 572 (1989); City of Everett v. Moore, 37 Wn. App. 862, 864-65, 683 P.2d 617 (1984); Coates v. City of Cincinnati, 402 U.S. 611 (1971).

In recognition of their enormous powers as law enforcement officers of the State, police must be prepared to endure more criticism than would a private individual. Houston v. Hill, 482 U.S. 451

at page 454 (1987) overturned the conviction of a person who shouted “pick on somebody your own size” to a police officer in the course of his duties. “The freedom of individuals to oppose or challenge police action without thereby risking arrest is one of the principal characteristics by which we distinguish a free nation from a police state.” Houston v. Hill, supra, at pages 462-463.

“While police, no less than anyone else, may resent having obscene words and gestures directed at them, they may not exercise the awesome power at their disposal to punish individuals for conduct that is not merely lawful, but protected by the First Amendment.” Duran v. City of Douglas, 904 F.2d 1372, 1378 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1990).

Accord, MacKinney v. Nielsen, 69 F.3d 1002 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995) (chalk drawing saying “A police state is more expensive than a welfare state - we guarantee it” is constitutionally protected criticism of police and defendant properly refused to stop writing); Bufkins v. City of Omaha, **922 F.2d 465 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1990) (officer could not arrest defendant for calling him an “asshole”)**.

You have been noticed of Washington Laws that make it clear that I have the right to inform the public that all you judges, prosecutors and & are engaged in conspiracy and collusion under the “color of law” to commit child stealing, custodial interference, kidnaping, extortion for child support money and selling children for profit in violation of the Washington State Criminal Profiteering Act and FEDERAL RICO LAWS which is illegal & now you ALL can be joindered to the 42 U.S.C. 1983 Lawsuits and criminal complaints that others & myself will soon be filing against the police, prosecutors & judges for said “ultra vires” acts done outside the scope of their legal authority so to do under the “color of law” for which there is NO statutory authority so to do!

CALL Luis Ewing at 1 - (253) 226-3741 or <[rcwcodebuster@gmail.com](mailto:rcwcodebuster@gmail.com)>. IF YOU WANT TO FILE CRIMINAL CHARGES AND CIVIL LAWSUITS AGAINST THE INDIVIDUAL CPS CASE WORKERS WHO WORK FOR THE CPS DIVISION OF DSHS! DONATE TO: Luis Ewing, c/o P.O. Box 421, (City of) Moclips, The State of Washington [98562].